INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND INNOVATIVE STUDIES
ISSN: 2820-7157
www.ijsrisjournal.com

April 2024 Volume 3 Number 2 45-52

Received Date: February 25, 2024 Accepted Date: March 12, 2024 Published Date: April 17, 2024

Available Online at https://www.ijsrisjournal.com/index.php/ojsfiles/article/view/395

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15798263

Digital Health Integration in the Clinical Practice: A Review of Technological Innovations in Nursing

Aeshah Abdu Ahmed Hadadi[1], Fatimah Hassan Al Abbas[2], Afnan Ali Ahmed Almusharraf[3], Layla Hassan Ghanem Alghanem[4]

1. Nursing Technician, ahdadi@moh.gov.sa, Dammam Health Network, Arabie saoudite.
2. Nursing Technician, Fahalabbas@moh.gov.sa, Dammam Health Network, Arabie saoudite.
3. Afnan Ali Ahmed Almusharraf, Nursing Assistant, aaalmusharraf@moh.gov.sa, Central Blood Bank in Dammam, Arabie saoudite.
4. Layla Hassan Ghanem Alghanem, Nursing Technician, Lalgahnem@moh.gov.sa, Dammam Health Network Arabie saoudite.

Abstract

An in-depth digital transformation is being experienced in the healthcare industry, and nursing is the leading sector undergoing this technological revolution. Digital health tools-e.g. electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth applications, mobile health applications, clinical decision support systems are transforming the nursing sector of care delivery, clinical reporting functions, and patient engagement. In the current literature review, the researcher intends to examine how digital health innovations can be integrated into nursing practice and discuss such main areas of use, obstacles and catalysts of implementation, and clinical and organizational impact. The study is a collection of findings of a systematic literature review of peer-reviewed studies indexed in 3 databases, Scopus, PubMed, and, CINAHL included in the study; published between 2013 and 2024. According to the analysis, along with exhibiting improvements in care-coordination, documentation accuracy, and patient engagement, digital tools present issues that are connected with usability, workflow breach, data privacy, and digital literacy. The review has concluded that there should be an outline of the significance of nurse-led co-design and targeted digital education with the help of regulatory provisions to make the digital integration in the practices of the clinical nurses sustainable.

Keywords: digital health, informatics nursing, telehealth, clinical decision support, electronic health records, digital transformation, eHealth, technology adoption, nursing practice.

1. Introduction

Digital revolution in healthcare is not only changing the healthcare delivery, it is also changing the roles and functions of the nursing professionals. Technological advancement of the last several years brought dozens of gadgets to enhance the clinical efficiency, communication, and usage of medical devices. Some of the most powerful eHealth technologies are electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth, mobile health (mHealth) applications, wearable devices and artificial intelligence-based decision support systems [1], [2].

As the greatest part of the worldwide healthcare workforce, nurses are as well one of the key stakeholders that may enhance the successful adoption and implementation of these technologies. They are the first persons to use digital systems, and thus they are beneficiaries as well as mediators of innovation. However, even on the back of widespread adoption of digital tools, incorporation into nursing processes has been ad hoc and uneven [3]. A great number of nurses state they have problems with using difficult interfaces, inadequate training, and contradiction between involving the technology and the ability to maintain a human-centered level of care [4].

Simultaneously, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to an accelerated transition to the digital age, especially regarding telehealth and remote monitoring. These developments have provoked even greater necessity to examine the issues of digital health innovations influencing the nursing practice not only in the aspect of efficiency and safety, but also in the context of personal identity and ethics of their professional interaction with patients [5]. New demands and opportunities have emerged in nursing due to the transition to electronic documentation, the idea of virtual visits in lieu of face-to-face care, and an algorithm-assisted protocol in lieu of a decision made via intuition.

Nursing aspects of digital health have been reviewed before, although there are limited reviews that have been narrow in the sense that they looked at one particular technology, or do not have theoretical background synthesis. Thus, it is high time to consider all the existing novelties and their reflections both on clinical practice.

This review will attempt to: (1) plot the existing landscape of digital health technologies as implemented in nursing, (2) determine the primary areas of integration and deployment in clinical practice, (3) correlate the obstructions and facilitators of digital health uptake in nursing, and (4) assess reported consequences on clinical quality, efficiency, and patient experience.

2. Methodology

The present integrative literature review was conducted in accordance with a systematized approach with the influence of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [6]. To obtain a comprehensive image of the process of incorporation of the digital health technology into the nursing practice, the review was devoted to synthesizing the results of peer-reviewed studies that were conducted in various care settings and technological fields.

2.1 Search Strategy of Databases

Searching was done electronically via four leading scholarly databases, PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Web of Science. It was restricted to English-language peer-reviewed articles in journals posted in January 2013-March 2024. The keywords and Boolean operators were used in a combination to make up a search term: nursing AND (digital health OR eHealth OR telehealth OR mobile health OR clinical decision support OR electronic health record OR health technology).

Search was done using Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) words and any related thesaurus to refine search accuracy. An academic librarian checked the search strategy to meet completion and replicability.

2.2 exclusion and inclusion Criteria

Research selection criteria To make the studies relate and rigorous, they were selected depending on the following criteria:

•Nurse centred (nurse roles or nurse practice-focused).

- Included one type of digital health technology at least.

Reported empirical results (qualitative, quantitative or mixed-methods).

- Discussed implementation, integration, barriers, enablers or outcomes of digital technologies.

The articles were excluded in cases where they:

- Narrows to general health informatics, and does not include nursing information.

- Had been conference abstracts, opinion papers or editorials.

- Did not provide real-world implementation (e.g. theoretical only work, or work focused on prototyping).

2.3 Screening and selection process

The initial searches of the database gave 1,528 results. Two reviewers independently read 1,112 titles and abstracts after the elimination of 416 duplicates with EndNote. Out of this, 143 full texts were analyzed. The inclusion criteria were applied to 71 articles and used to select articles that were intended to be included in information extraction and thematic synthesis (Figure 1 presents a PRISMA flow diagram).

2.4 Extraction of Data

It developed an inclusive data extraction matrix and pilot-tested it. Some main variables were:

Title of study and publishing year.

- Nation and medical environment.
- The kind of digital health technology applied.

Role or Function of nursing addressed.

- Implementation stage (pilot, scale-up and routine use).
- Captured obstacles and facilitators.
- Reported clinical, organizational or educational outcomes.

2.5 Appraisal of Quality

To measure methodological quality of the studies included, The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT, 2018 version) has been implemented [7]. Two independent reviewers rated each study on the five dimensions that apply to its design. Decision making was done by consensus discussion. Although the strategy was not meta-analysis but mostly synthesis, quality ratings were recorded in order to place context on confidence on the evidence base.

3. Areas of Nursing Digital Health Integration

Digital health technologies are becoming a part of many spheres of nursing practice, and their use is transversal to such spheres as clinical documentation, communication, patient education, care coordination, and decision-making processes. In this part, the primary domains of integrating digital tools in health are classified in accordance with the results of the reviewed literature.

3.1 Electronic Health Records (EHRs)

One of the most common digital applications in nursing practice is the EHR systems. They have changed the way nurses record their patient data, monitor care plans, and coordinate with the interdisciplinary teams. Most of the reports cited by various studies indicate that EHRs increase access to information, minimize documentation inaccuracies, and strengthen continuity of care [8]. Nevertheless, the questions still arise about usability of EHR interfaces, workflow interruption and time consuming dataCapturing [9]. Other nurses report their irritation over inflexible documentation models that restrict clinical reasoning and storytelling [10].

System design and strategies of institutional implementation have a very strong impact on the effectiveness of EHRs. It has been proposed that the engagement of nurses in the customization of EHR and training considerably increases the adoption and satisfaction levels [11].

3.2. Telehealth and Remote monitoring

The application of telehealth technologies and, in particular, video consultations, teletriage, and remote monitoring have increased significantly, with the evolution being boosted by the COVID-19 pandemic. On the one hand, nurses have played an important role in virtual care delivery because they have been critical in the management of chronic illnesses, mental healthcare, and rural outreach initiatives [12]. Among the highlighted benefits are the alleviation of patients, fewer emergency department admissions, and more active participation of the patients in self-care [13].

Nonetheless, objections consist of the fluctuating digital literacy of patients, technological disruptions, and fewer methods of non-verbal correspondence and may affect clinical evaluation and the development of rapport [14]. Moreover, accommodation of telehealth with the regular nursing flow needs new guidelines, cross-training, and policies that would safeguard all the involved, including providers and patients.

3.3 apps and Mobile Health (mHealth)

Apps are now common-place in nursing care as the platforms assist with clinical calculations, medication safety, educational materials, and monitoring symptoms. MHealth apps are also suggested by the nurses to patients during care planning or chronic management in discharge planning [15]. There are positive findings on medication adherence, patient empowerment, and communications by using app-enabled messaging and reminders [16].

However, commercially available apps differ extensively in their the quality and the reliability. In some circumstances, nurses do not have any formal instructions on how to determine app validity, which can be potentially misleading or underperform in terms of integrating with the official care plan [17]. On top of that, data safety and the adherence to privacy regulations like HIPAA or GDPR is an issue that is still insufficiently addressed in most mHealth programs.

3.4 Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)

CDSS tools are set out to support clinical decision-making by delivering real-time notifications, suggestions, and evidence-based suggestions. They are often adapted in EHRs in nursing to trigger a risk assessment (e.g., fall risk, pressure ulcer risk), dosage calculation, or infection control suite [18].

Research states that CDSS has a potential to decrease the mistakes and enhance protocol adherence and standardization of care procedures [19]. Nevertheless, alerts are potentially limiting (especially an abundance of alerts, so-called, alert fatigue) and nurses indicate certain opposition because of the observed infringement of the copyright issue to professional

autonomy [20]. It would be essential to carefully strike a balance between the automation and judgments of clinicians to support the use of CDSSs effectively.

3.5 Predictive Analytics and Artificial Intelligence

Applications The new aspects of artificial intelligence (AI) use in nursing are in the area of predictive modelling of patient deterioration, employee scheduling, workflow productivity. Even though it is still in its infancy in most clinical practices, AI systems have proven to be useful in predicting sepsis development, avoiding readmissions, and early interventions [21].

Nevertheless, applying AI to nursing practice is still debatable as it raises the issue of the transparency of algorithms, prejudice to the data, and the danger of deskilling. The involvement of AI, by which it supplements and does not substitute the nursing expertise, becomes a rising subject of practical and ethical debate [22].

To conclude, the concept of digital health in nursing covers a wide range of spheres. Although every technology has different advantages, in order to be successful, the use case-specific approach, involvement of end-users, and constant assessment must be applied to accommodate technological solutions to the principal values of nursing practice.

4. Facilitators and Difficulties to Technology Adoption

Although the potential of digital health innovations is clear, their penetration into the nursing practice is heterogeneous between healthcare systems. The processes of implementation are affected by a mix of such individual, organizational, technological and systemic processes. In this section, the barriers and enablers of digital health adoption in nursing most reported in the literature review are analyzed by performing a thematic synthesis of the literature considered.

4.1 Barriers of Technology

Usage is cited as one of the common barriers to adoption. Distracting, ambiguous navigation, and non-user-friendly workflow may contribute to nurse frustration and error or workaround [8], [23]. As a matter of fact, the interruption of clinical reasoning in the form of EHR systems with redundant data fields or strict input structures makes the tasks take longer.

Interoperability is also another major obstacle. Different digital platforms fail to interact well in most institutions, and therefore, information is not coherent, and work could be duplicated. Such fragmentation discourages the possible enhancement of continuity and coordination of care by means of digital systems [24].

Technology adoption is also hampered by infrastructure constraints that prohibit or delimit access, including the use of outdated devices, problems with a slow internet connection, or access to mobile devices, in general, particularly in resource-constrained or rural contexts [25].

4.2 Personal and organizational obstacles

The digital literacy of nurses is exceptionally diverse. Although younger professionals might feel more at home with technology, other people indicate anxiety or feelings of not being very competent to utilize digital systems, especially when they have not received sufficient training [26]. Workload is also often increased by the need to develop a so-called learning curve in order to learn how to use new tools and can lead to cases of burnout during periods of transition.

Furthermore, it is constantly feared that digital tools will kill the humanism essence of nursing. Since nurses are afraid that overuse of screens or automatic decision-making systems might dehumanize treatment and decrease patient contact, researchers predict that AD health workers may refuse to use new technologies in their daily practice [4], [10].

Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) also are perceived to be invasive by some, possibly subjugating their clinical autonomy or breeding mistrust in those professional judgment [20].

4.3 Barriers to Organization and Culture

At the organizational level, it is a big deterrent due to inadequate leadership support and ambiguity of policies. In certain environments, the implementation of digital tools is not considered as a change of culture but the technical enhancement and such a misalignment has led to poor adjustments with traditional workflows [27]. Poor communication, inadequate involvement of stakeholders and lack of incentive structures may also lead to resistance.

It is essential that the culture in the workplace matters. Technological innovations will be readily accepted in units characterized by the tradition of collaboration learning, open feedback and decision making [28]. Conversely, hierarchies or punishment atmosphere discourage experimentation and deter peer-assistance in the process of technological change.

4.4 Successful Integration Catalyzing Factors

One of the most obvious enablers is participatory design, in which nurses are engaged in choosing, tailoring, and testing digital systems. According to research, co-design increases relevance, usability and buy in by the front line staff [29].

An excellent training and continual support also are well matched with successful implementation. It is not advisable to offer the training once and instead, the training should consist of peer mentorships and learning through simulations and should be offered the opportunity to receive technical help in real-time [30].

Engagement of the leadership-nurse managers, executive sponsors is proven to augment resource allocation, motivate staff and align digital initiatives in a strategic manner [31]. Institutions which define the adoption of technology as an instrument of improving the values of nursing (as opposed to regulating performance) have higher satisfaction and utilization rates.

Lastly, the alignment of policy and regulations is a must. Clearly defined data protection, framework of practice and accountability would minimize uncertainties and promote appropriate use of technology [32].

To sum up, a multidimensional technology adoption ecosystem in nursing exists. The concept relying on systems approaches would help to change the barriers and utilize facilitators because of the realities of the clinical practice and the ethos of the nursing profession.

5. Clinical and Organizational Result

Conducting an analysis of the effects of the utilization of digital health in nursing is highly important in demonstrating the actual benefit of such an approach in clinical settings. Though digital innovations may imply efficiency and better quality of care, the picture is more complicated as indicated by the empirical evidence. In this part, author summarizes the pivotal results that encompass the following areas: patient care, nurse performance, organization efficiency, and the impact on the system level.

5.1 Clinical Outcomes

Many researches indicate that patient safety and levels of care improved due to the implementation of digital tools. Bar-code scanning systems and electronic medication administration records (eMARs) were linked to fewer medication errors in the hospital environment [33]. Electronic health records (EHRs) and clinical decision support system (CDSS) have increased the rates of compliance to evidence-based processes, including sepsis screen and fall prevention [18], [34].

Nurse-directed telehealth programs have recorded an average of positive outcomes in chronic disease management such as the lowering of blood pressure, glycemic level control in diabetes, and emergency department visits in heart failure patients [12], [13]. Nonetheless, they can be highly reliant on both the consultation of patients and the availability of digital means, as well as the suitability of technology to the care situation.

5.2 Experience and Engagement of Patients

Patient engagement through digital technology applications, such as telemedicine, patient portal applications, and remote monitoring are some of the ways in which the digital technologies have managed to boost patient engagement. They also support communication, enhancement of appointment scheduling and access to educational materials through these platforms. Research findings show increased patient satisfaction associated with the tailored care proper through the use of digital tools to create a shared decision-making environment [16], [35].

Nonetheless, not all patients can accept technology-intensive interactions, especially older adults or ones that have low aptitudes to using digital technologies. Nurses can help close this gap and ensure a human touch in the technical mediation of the care interaction by offering online coaching, and establishing connectivity [14], [36].

5.3 Nurse Job Satisfaction and Nurse Workflow

Digital devices have the capability of simplifying and complicating nurse workflows. On the one hand, well-integrated systems decrease redundancy of documentation, ease in management of tasks, and enhance access to clinical information [8]. Conversely, bad designed systems lead to high cognitive loads, documentation time and anger, which relate to turnover and burnout [9], [23].

Investigations revealed that participation in the technology selection process and sufficient accompanying training increased the level of nurse satisfaction and their feeling that the technology improved their practice [11], [30].

5.4 System and Organization Level Consequences

The benefits of digital health technologies useful at the organization level include enhancing care coordination, data-based leadership, and regulatory-driven operations. Real time data analytics allows nurse managers to observe staffing, patient acuity, and quality metrics and helps streamline the clinical demand response [21], [37].

Major consideration is also cost-effectiveness. Even though potential costs of implementation may be significant, a range of longitudinal studies indicates that hospital readmission rates, stay length, and litigation risks decrease once digital integration is achieved [5], [33] .

Nevertheless, all these will have to occur through strategic alignment of clinical operations, information technology (IT), and administrative leadership. The research suggests that institutions that apply digital transformation as part of a larger approach to quality improvements are more likely to indicate positive results compared to the institutions in which technology has been introduced as a stand-alone measure [27].

On the whole, digital health technologies have great prospects to promote the nursing profession and the provision of services. Nevertheless, they can be made effective with a reasonable time with implementation, and a user-centered design, with a long-term interest on human aspects of care.

6. Discussion

The introduction of digital health technologies into nursing practice is a paradigmatic shift in those two dimensions: clinical care provision and nursing professional identity. As the results of the present review indicate, despite the important benefits of digital tools, including the greater efficiency of care delivery, higher accuracy of documentation, and the engagement of patients, digital tools are associated with the emergence of complex workflow, ethical, and equity-related challenges.

This issue, in turn, is important because one of the critical lessons is that digital transformation in nursing is dual: it may be empowering and alienating at the same time. On one hand, decision support tools, mobile apps, and patient portal provided to nurses carry the potential to ensure more personal and responsive care delivery [13], [16]. Conversely, the increase of screens, notifications, and algorithmic advice can lead to depersonalisation and what can only be described as technological distancing when it comes to patient relationships [4], [20]. This conflict demonstrates the necessity to conserve the main humanistic principles of nursing and adopt innovation.

The other significant theme is the consideration of nurse involvement in the technology life cycle. Research is continuously indicating a high increase in adoption rate and satisfaction in cases where nurses participate in the design, piloting and assessment of digital systems [29], [30]. On the other hand, technologies introduced in a Way that does not take enough consideration into account at the front-line, will not reflect the real working environment resulting in such forms of technology being resisted, not used adequately, or producing unintentional outcomes [23], [27].

Such essential enablers include digital literacy and training. Poor training is often discussed as an obstacle to successful implementation of technologies, especially those in the elderly nurses who have less experience in working in digital spheres [25], [26]. With the advanced digital systems implementing AI, remote sensing and data analysis, in-service training should change not only to include advanced technical skills but also ethical thinking, interpretation of information and digital advocacy [21], [22].

The third problem is that of contextual variability of the outcomes. The technologies which achieve success in controlled trials or other wealthier situations may have problems when applied to low resource or rural regions. The infrastructure, the supply of personnel, the population of the patients, and the culture of a given institution all contribute to digital integration success [24], [36]. As an example, in theory, telehealth programs can increase access to urban outpatient clinics but lead to greater disparities in areas with low internet access or in those with poor digital literacy.

The other factor presented in the review is the growing importance of AI and predictive analytics in the nursing community. These tools, in early development, provide hope to improve early warning systems, staffing optimization, and interventions personalization [21]. Still, the ethical issues of data bias, algorithm invisibility and death of clinical intuition should be sanctioned in advance. The ethics of nursing and the role of a patient advocate can help advance the nursing profession as the advocate of the proper introduction of these technologies [22].

Lastly, seen as a system, the achievement of successful digital health incorporation is not a one-day occasion, yet a relentless process. Institutions need to embrace learning health system methodology where the implementation of technology can be tracked, assessed, and perfected using a cyclic methodology where all stakeholders are engaged [37]. Innovative digital practices can only be sustained with leadership support, adequate policies, and interprofessional collaboration to allow scaling these practices across the settings.

Simply stated, digital health in nursing will be developed not just as the result of technology advances, but how the profession can find its voice, values, and vision in the fast-changing environment of healthcare.

Conclusion

This literature review has demonstrated that digital health innovations are reshaping the foundations of nursing practice. From electronic health records and telehealth platforms to clinical decision support systems and emerging artificial intelligence tools, nurses are increasingly embedded in a digitally mediated care environment. These technologies have improved documentation accuracy, enhanced patient monitoring, and expanded access to care—especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. They also provide powerful platforms for communication, education, and coordination across interdisciplinary teams.

However, the review also underscores persistent challenges that impede the full realization of digital health's potential in nursing. These include poor system usability, insufficient training, digital inequities, and concerns about depersonalization of care. The variability in infrastructure, institutional readiness, and professional culture further complicates implementation. Moreover, ethical tensions surrounding algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the role of human judgment in clinical decision-making remain unresolved.

To address these issues, the profession must adopt a proactive and reflective approach. This entails involving nurses in the design and evaluation of digital systems, ensuring sustained investments in digital literacy, and fostering leadership that aligns innovation with core nursing values. Regulatory frameworks and health policies should also support safe, equitable, and accountable technology integration.

As healthcare systems worldwide move toward digitization, nursing is positioned to lead this transformation—not simply by adapting to new tools, but by shaping their development and ensuring they enhance rather than erode the human essence of care. By embedding digital innovation within a nursing paradigm rooted in compassion, critical thinking, and ethical responsibility, the profession can redefine excellence in 21st-century care.

References

[1] Institute of Medicine, *The Future of Nursing: Leading Change, Advancing Health*, Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2011.

[2] R. Topaz and S. Pruinelli, "Big data and nursing: Implications for practice, research, and education," *Nursing Outlook*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 546–552, 2017.

[3] D. Sensmeier, "The role of nurses in improving health care through informatics," *Nursing Management*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 14–17, 2016.

[4] M. T. Lyles, B. Sarkar, and D. Ratanawongsa, "Refocusing on the digital divide: 5 ways to support digital health equity," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 937–939, 2020.

[5] E. Shaw, L. Howard, and M. Clark, "Nurses' perceptions of technology integration following COVID-19," *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, vol. 30, no. 13–14, pp. 1910–1919, 2021.

[6] PRISMA Group, "Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA 2020 Statement," *BMJ*, vol. 372, n71, 2021.

[7] H. Hong et al., "The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018," *Educational Research*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 561–563, 2018.

[8] M. Stevenson et al., "Electronic health record implementation and nurses' documentation practices," *BMC Nursing*, vol. 20, p. 89, 2021.

[9] K. Ratwani, S. Savage, and H. Will, "A usability and safety analysis of EHRs: A multi-center study," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1100–1109, 2019.

[10] C. G. Bowles et al., "Nurses and documentation burden: Analysis of time spent in electronic health records," *Nursing Outlook*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 667–673, 2020.

[11] J. Cummings, T. Bennett, and B. Harrington, "Impact of nurse involvement on EHR implementation success," *Journal of Nursing Administration*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 421–428, 2019.

[12] L. Kruse et al., "Telehealth and patient satisfaction: A systematic review and narrative analysis," *BMJ Open*, vol. 7, no. 8, e016242, 2017.

[13] K. Dorsey and E. Topol, "State of telehealth," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 375, no. 2, pp. 154–161, 2016.

[14] S. McAlearney et al., "Challenges in telehealth implementation: A qualitative study of nurse leaders," *Nursing Outlook*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 35–42, 2021.

[15] J. Burke et al., "Mobile health applications and nursing care," *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 321–329, 2019.

[16] K. Fiordelli, N. Diviani, and P. Schulz, "Mapping mHealth research: A decade of evolution," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 15, no. 5, e95, 2013.

[17] J. Huckvale, C. Prieto, D. Tilney, A. Benghozi, and J. Car, "Unregulated health apps: Evaluation of content, functionality, and accuracy," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 13, p. 232, 2015.

[18] R. Sutton et al., "Clinical decision support systems: State of the art," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1011–1016, 2020.

[19] H. Khalifa, "Clinical decision support systems and performance improvement," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 13, p. 135, 2013.

[20] T. Russ et al., "Overcoming alert fatigue in clinical decision support systems," *Health Affairs*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 1912–1919, 2016.

[21] R. Shillan, J. Sterne, K. Champneys, and J. Gibbison, "Use of machine learning to analyze large datasets in critical care: A scoping review," *BMJ Open*, vol. 9, no. 11, e033360, 2019.

[22] T. Mittelstadt et al., "The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate," *Big Data & Society*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–21, 2016.

[23] B. Alexander and J. Staggers, "Nurses' experience of usability problems with EHRs," *Nursing Outlook*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 278–287, 2016.

[24] J. Adler-Milstein and E. Pfeifer, "Information blocking and interoperability: The US policy landscape," *Health Affairs*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 712–718, 2017.

[25] L. Gagnon et al., "Barriers and facilitators to e-health adoption," *Telemedicine and e-Health*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 956–964, 2016.

[26] C. MacNeill et al., “Digital literacy among nurses,” *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 277–283, 2020.

[27] A. Greenhalgh et al., “Why do technology projects fail?” *Milbank Quarterly*, vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 729–768, 2011.

[28] C. Kitson et al., “The enabling role of leadership in digital transformation,” *Nursing Management*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 28–33, 2020.

[29] M. Simonsen and J. Robertson, *Participatory Design: Principles and Practices*, CRC Press, 2013.

[30] H. Kennedy, S. Young, and M. Gray, “Simulation training for digital skills in nursing,” *Nurse Education Today*, vol. 93, 104523, 2020.

[31] L. Nagle, J. Sermeus, and P. Junger, “EHealth and nursing: A vision for 2025,” *International Nursing Review*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 54–63, 2020.

[32] European Commission, *General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)*, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://gdpr.eu

[33] D. Bates et al., “Effect of computerized physician order entry and a team intervention on prevention of serious medication errors,” *JAMA*, vol. 280, no. 15, pp. 1311–1316, 1998.

[34] S. Dowding, D. Randell, and J. Mitchell, “Nurses’ use of decision support tools in clinical practice,” *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 9, p. 15, 2009.

[35] S. Ammenwerth et al., “Patient portals: A review of benefits, barriers, and strategies for success,” *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 44, no. 12, p. 201, 2020.

[36] K. Choi and L. Boyle, “Digital equity and telehealth: Opportunities and risks for vulnerable populations,” *Nursing Ethics*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 3–12, 2022.

[37] C. Friedman et al., “Toward a science of learning systems: A research agenda for the high-functioning learning health system,” *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 43–50, 2015.